## SHAPE OPTIMIZATION IN STATIC PERFECT PLASTICITY

## AYMERIC MAURY <sup>1</sup>,

<sup>1</sup> Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions, UMR 7598, Université Paris Diderot, UFR de mathématiques.

The shape optimization of a structure,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , obeying a static perfect plastic law presents the difficulty of having as state constraint a variational inequality: noting  $\partial \Omega = \Gamma_N \cup \Gamma_0$  with  $\Gamma_N \cap \Gamma_0 = \emptyset$ , find  $\sigma \in M \cap K$ and  $u \in BD(\Omega)$  [6] such that,

(1) 
$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} C^{-1}\sigma : (\tau - \sigma) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} u \cdot div \, (\tau - \sigma) \, dx \ge 0, \quad \forall \tau \in M \cap K, \\ \int_{\Omega} \sigma : e \, (v) \, dx = \int_{\Gamma_N} g \cdot v \, ds + \int_{\Omega} f \cdot v \, dx, \quad \forall v \in H^1_{\Gamma_0} \left( \Omega \right), \end{cases}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the stress tensor, u the displacement, g stands for a force on a part of the surface, f a volume force,  $e(v) = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla v + {}^t \nabla v), C$  the Hooke tensor,  $H^1_{\Gamma_0} = \{v \in H^1(\Omega)^d | v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0\}, K = \{\tau \in L^2(\Omega)^{d \times d} | \phi(\tau) \leq 0\}$ a cone,  $\phi$  the yield function and  $M = \{\tau \in L^2(\Omega)^{d \times d} | \tau = {}^t \tau, \tau n = g \text{ on } \Gamma_N\}$ . The sensitivity of the solution  $(\sigma, u)$  with respect to the shape, was studied for a particular case in [5], using the results of [3], pointing its non-differentiability.

In order to avoid using subgradient algorithms, it is easier to regularize the system (1). Using the projection on the convex cone K, one can use a Perzyna penalty type  $(\frac{1}{\epsilon}|\sigma - P_K(\sigma)|^2)$  such as in [2]. Or one can remark that the problem can be rewritten as:

(2) 
$$\int_{\Omega} P_K(Ce(u)) : e(v) \, dx = \int_{\Gamma_N} g \cdot v \, ds + \int_{\Omega} f \cdot v \, dx, \quad \forall v \in H^1_0(\Omega),$$

where  $P_K$  is the orthogonal projection on K. This formulation is analyzed for instance in [4], and is used to numerically compute the solutions of (1) even if it is possible that (2) admits no solution.

Our goal is to use (2), smoothing the projection  $P_K$  for the particular case of the Von Mises criterion in such a way that the problem admits a unique solution and is differentiable with respect to the shape. Then we compute the derivative of objectives, such as the displacement of a group of points, thanks to the adjoint method. Finally, using the levelset method [1], we present some shape optimization results.

Acknowledgements. This work has been supported by the RODIN project (FUI AAP 13)

## References

- ALLAIRE G., JOUVE F., TOADER A.-M., A level-set method for shape optimization, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I, 334, 1125-1130, 2002.
- [2] LÖBACH D., Interior stress regularity for the Prandtl Reuss and Hencky model of perfect plasticity using the Perzyna approximation, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universitt, Mathematisches Institut, 2007
- [3] MIGNOT F., Contrôle dans les inéquations variationelles elliptiques, Journal of Functional Analysis, 22, 130-185, 1976.
- [4] RANNACHER R., SUTTMEIER F-T., A posteriori error estimation and mesh adptation for finite element models in elastoplasticity, Journal of Functional Analysis, 22, 130-185, 1976.
- [5] SOKOLOWSKI J., Introduction to shape optimization, Springer Series in computational mathematics, 1992.
- [6] TEMAM R., Mathematical problems in Plasticity, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1985.